



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,046	07/14/2003	Seung-Jae Han	4-4	6070	
32498 7590 01/04/2007 CAPITOL PATENT & TRADEMARK LAW FIRM, PLLC ATTN: JOHN CURTIN			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, KHAI MINH		
P.O. BOX 1995 VIENNA, VA 2		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 10/619.046 HAN ET AL

D. C. A. Ellis C. C. C. C.	10/019,040	LIANEIAL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khai M. Nguyen	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	٠,		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of inal Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•,	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			00		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1/	' <i>/</i>		
13. Other:		CONGVANTE PRIMARY EXA:	AAM NINER		

Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-14, 16, 18-19, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-32 and 35, Applicant argues, on pages 11-14 of the remarks, that Brody and Lo do not disclose, teach, or suggest "calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer; and adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument because Brody and Lo clearly discloses calculating a second balancing metric based on an operating characteristic of said second layer (see Brody, fig.3-4, col.11, lines 14-55, instantaneous cell loading is periodically monitored and balance loading among the various cells in system, and predetermined threshold value DHTHRESH and DRTHRESH are assigned to each cell in system, (a network can have more than one base stations, and each or the cell site base stations defines a geographical cell (layer)); and adjusting said transmission characteristic threshold in response to the value of said first balancing metric relative to said second balancing metric (see Lo, fig.1, col.1, lines 39-57, col.3, A threshold for allowing a call entering into the system is adaptively adjusted according to system condition so that the calls are sustained in a predetermined quality. Furthermore, in allocating channels, the loads of the macro cell and micro cells are balanced so that the system has a higher channel utility.)

Khai Nguyen